



Mario Neal <marioneal628@gmail.com>

Urgent Request for Withdrawal from Case Due to Ethical Concerns

1 message

Mario Neal <marioneal628@gmail.com>
 To: Roger Hatcher <rhatcher47@gmail.com>

Mon, Mar 25,

Dr. Roger Hatcher,

Today, I reach out to you not merely in personal concern but as a steadfast advocate for ethical standards and a defender of our most precious and vulnerable—my children. This letter is laden with deep concerns, necessitated by the imperative to uphold professional conduct, ethics, and, above all, the welfare and safety of children involved in this sensitive case.

It is with a sense of urgency and grave concern that I address the issue of your continued involvement in this matter, given the unresolved and troubling questions surrounding professional ethics and conduct. Your role, especially in contexts as critical as this, should be underpinned by the highest standards of integrity and impartiality, as outlined in the American Psychological Association's (APA) Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct. Principles B (Fidelity and Responsibility) and C (Integrity) specifically require a steadfast commitment to trust, honesty, and the avoidance of conflicts of interest that could lead to exploitation or harm. Unfortunately, my experiences and observations lead me to question your adherence to these fundamental ethical standards.

The formal complaints that I have been necessitated to lodge against you with the Illinois Department of Financial and Professional Regulation and relevant law enforcement agencies highlight the severity of my concerns. These complaints have precipitated investigations into your conduct, casting a significant shadow over the reliability and ethical foundations of your contributions to this case.

In accordance with my rights under the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), I demand full transparency and access to my medical records and any assessments or diagnoses you have made. Given the serious implications of diagnosing Paranoid Personality Disorder (PPD) and the rigorous standards required for such a diagnosis, it is imperative that you provide a detailed explanation of your methodology and the justifications for your conclusions, particularly in the absence of comprehensive testing.

Your professional duty, as dictated by APA guidelines, is to ensure that all diagnostic and reporting procedures are conducted with utmost transparency, accuracy, and impartiality. This is not merely a professional obligation but a moral imperative, especially when the stakes involve the welfare and safety of children.

Moreover, your affidavit's assertion that I pose an immediate danger to my children, contrasted with the portrayal of Thomas Neal as a suitable parent, is deeply troubling. This is particularly alarming given the existing child abuse allegations against Mr. Neal and the protective measures I have been forced to undertake. Your knowledge of these allegations, evidenced by extensive documentation and communication, makes such claims not only unfounded but recklessly harmful.

The implications of your statements have had dire consequences, resulting in my children being placed in a potentially harmful environment. This situation is antithetical to the principle of welfare and safety we are both duty-bound to protect. It is incumbent upon you to provide immediate clarification and evidence supporting your grave allegations and to rectify the unjust situation that has arisen from these claims.

In light of the ongoing investigations into your professional conduct and the serious ethical concerns raised, it is in the best interest of all involved—most importantly, the welfare of my children—that you consider withdrawing from this matter. The potential risk to the children's welfare with your continued involvement cannot be overstated.

Should you decide against withdrawing, please be advised that I am prepared to escalate this matter to the court, advocating for your immediate removal to safeguard the welfare of the children involved.

I trust you will approach this letter with the seriousness and urgency it demands. Your prompt and cooperative response is not only expected but imperative.

Yours sincerely,

Mario Neal

From: Mario Neal <marioneal628@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 25, 2024 8:23 AM
To: chuckroberts@robertspc.com <chuckroberts@robertspc.com>; wmusielak@ekclawfirm.com <wmusielak@ekclawfirm.com>; Rick@robertspc.com <Rick@robertspc.com>
Subject: Submission of Response Motion to the Motion for Rule to Show Cause Regarding Dr. Hatcher's Evaluation

Rick Roberts, Chuck Roberts, and Wendy Musielak,

I am writing to inform you of the submission of the motion filed this morning in response to the Motion for Rule to Show Cause pertaining to Dr. Hatcher's evaluation.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Mario Neal



The filing below has been submitted to the clerk's office for review and assigned **Envelope Number: 26957993**. You will be notified by email in approximately 24-48 hours* if your filing has been accepted. *Note: Some notifications may take longer. To see the most up-to-date information about the filing, log back into your e-filing account and review the filing history.

Filing Details

Court	DuPage County
Date/Time Submitted	3/25/2024 8:16 AM CST
Filing Type	EFileAndServe
Filing Description	RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S PETITION FOR RULE TO SHOW CAUSE
Filing Code	Response
Filed By	Mario Neal
Filing Attorney	

Filing Sub

Envelope Num
Case Number:

Case Name: IN RE: THE MARRIAGE OF THOMAS NEAL AND

 **RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S PETITION FOR RULE TO SHOW CAUSE.pdf**
18893K