



Mario Neal <marioneal628@gmail.com>

CHILD SUPPORT FRAUD AND LEGAL CHILD KIDNAPPING

1 message

Mario Neal <marioneal628@gmail.com>

Tue, Apr 30, 2024 at 7:02 AM

To: Wendy Musielak <wmusielak@ekclawfirm.com>, Chuck Roberts <chuckroberts@robertspc.com>, Roger Hatcher <rhatcher47@gmail.com>, "Palios, Jen" <jenpalios@robertspc.com>, Rick Roberts <Rick@robertspc.com>, Pam Neal <nealpam@comcast.net>, Kristine Neal <kristine_neal@yahoo.com>, James R Neal <jrneal@loomislaw.com>, "Prindle, Trevor" <trevor.prindle@dupageco.org>, "Louis.Aranda@18thjudicial.org" <Louis.Aranda@18thjudicial.org>, Bill Cherny <bill@chernylaw.com>, "carl.brewer@illinois.gov" <carl.brewer@illinois.gov>, "markel.thurman@illinois.gov" <markel.thurman@illinois.gov>, "Laticia.mcalpine@illinois.gov" <Laticia.mcalpine@illinois.gov>, "detectivemail@dupagesheriff.org" <detectivemail@dupagesheriff.org>, Mary Ann McLean <mamclean@dioceseofjoliet.org>, "familycenteradmin@dupagecounty.gov" <familycenteradmin@dupagecounty.gov>, familylaw <familylaw@ekclawfirm.com>

My dissatisfaction is not just a passing feeling, it runs deep, and I feel highly frustrated by the lack of action in this matter. Despite my numerous attempts to communicate and urge a response regarding **Mr. Thomas Neal's unpaid child support**, it seems as though those in charge of ensuring compliance have instead used my communications as a means to shield him from the consequences of his transgressions.

As of today, **April 30th, 2024**, I note a conspicuous absence of concrete action **despite a series of communications explicitly urging address**. In the realm of law, compliance is not a matter of convenience but a bare necessity. This irrefutable obligation is enshrined in the Illinois Marriage and Dissolution of Marriage Act, specifically, 750 ILCS 5/505, which distinctly outlines stringent conditions for child support, **conditions that are currently being sidelined**.

The **illegal removal of the children** was just the beginning of a grave injustice. Shortly thereafter, **Mr. Chuck and Rick Roberts filed a motion to stop child support payments**, even though **Mr. Thomas Neal had committed child support fraud** - an offense that had been presented with clear and vital evidence. Despite the gravity of this crime, **Ms. Musielak chose to remain silent, a violation of the Illinois Obstructing Justice statute (720 ILCS 5/31-4)**. However on **December 22nd, 2023**, **Ms. Musielak** had no qualms about taking the stand and **lied under oath to cover up heinous acts of child abuse**.

The Illinois Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1.3 on 'Diligence' states that a lawyer shall act with reasonable diligence and promptness in representing a client.' Unfortunately, **Ms. Musielak**, in her role as the Guardian ad Litem, **seems to be focusing her protective guiding light not on my children**, which is her paramount duty, but rather on shielding Mr. Thomas Neal. Her actions have not only failed to safeguard my children's financial well-being but have allowed Mr. Thomas Neal to dodge his responsibilities under the Illinois Marriage and Divorce Act - Section 5/505 regarding child support.

To make matters worse, during the court hearing on **April 8th**, **Judge Louis Aranda ignored the overwhelming evidence presented**, failed to acknowledge the child support fraud, and **granted Mr. Thomas Neal's petition to stop paying child support altogether**.

A clear violation of the Illinois Obstructing Justice statute (720 ILCS 5/31-4). Such a statute unequivocally holds that knowingly enabling or facilitating any actions intended to obstruct the rightful enforcement of a law could invite culpability. **Further, the Illinois aiding and abetting law (720 ILCS 5/5-2(c)) posits that one can be held as accountable as the principal offender if one knowingly supports committing a crime**.

Considering U.S. Code 42 U.S.C. 666(a)(9), which emphasizes strict enforcement of child support obligations and outlines severe penalties for non-compliance, actions seemingly impeding these

enforcements could invite significant legal challenges. In light of these regulations, my disappointment isn't just a sentiment but a severe concern built on the bedrock of these stringent statutes.

The solemn duty to uphold justice and safeguard the well-being of my children, who have been unjustly employed as pawns in this distressing feud. These innocents have endured untold abuses, have been held up like carrots, and **have been taken from their home under a cloak of somber allegations.** This lamentable strategy, aimed at **pressuring me into consultations with Dr. Hatcher**, with the presumptuous goal of **erroneously diagnosing me with a debilitating mental disorder, is not only ethically questionable**, it's a crawl through the murkiest corners of the gray areas in law.

My children have been wrongfully severed from their familial anchors, all concealed under a misleading guise of clinical intervention. Disturbingly, the stage was set with the forethought of erroneous and illicit statements made by **Thomas Neal, bolstered by Ms. Musielak, and cemented by an affidavit – notably dated 12/22/2023 – by Dr. Hatcher.** These unjustifiable maneuvers were regrettably given credence by **Judge Aranda.**

Several legal professionals have orchestrated an even more sinister maneuver among you in the face of the glaring transgressions about child support obligations. This was not a simple misinterpretation or an oversight of the law. Instead, it strikes at the very heart of one of the most heinous crimes under our legal system - **illicit child abduction conducted under the guise of legality.**

I address you now - Chuck and Rick Roberts, Wendy Musielak, Thomas Neal, Roger Hatcher, and Judge Louis Aranda - My children were abstracted from my care without legal justification nor rightful authority, a maneuver I would rightly categorize as 'legal child kidnapping.'

The law isn't a list of suggestions - it's a body of rules we heed for the security of society. **The International Parental Kidnapping Act, 18 U.S.C. §1204,** stands as a bulwark against such appalling actions. It clearly states - to quote the wording – **'knowing removal or retention of a child... with the intent to obstruct the lawful exercise of parental rights is a criminal act.'** It's time to refocus our lenses on the essence of this law.

Remember the Illinois Rules of Professional Conduct? Did they sound familiar? As per these rules, an attorney's duty does not revolve around your client alone but must invariably ensure the child's emotional welfare is a paramount consideration.

Let's highlight the repercussions. We're not talking mere paperwork here or resolving disputes on who gets the larger piece of the pie. What we are dealing with is **severe psychological trauma inflicted on innocent children.** The unresolved distress and emotional damage have the potential to manifest as a perennial, haunting specter long past this case's closing gavel.

In your respectable roles as legal practitioners, each of you carries the weight of duty-bound responsibilities. Among these duties is the **obligation to closely monitor your clients' activities and guide them through legal channels.** Viewing this through such a prism, the chronic inability or glaring refusal of Mr. Thomas Neal to fulfill his child support obligations is not simply distressing; it's a resounding gong that signals a concerning dismissal of legal responsibilities and casts long and ominous shadows throughout these proceedings.

The continuous lack of resolution pushes us into the realm of speculation. **Is it plausible that Judge Louis Aranda, the court's presiding officer, would dismiss my upcoming motions, attempting to seek justice for the committed transgressions?** Or could there perhaps be an unspoken assumption that any attempt to disrupt investigations will be met without resistance? The **obstructions orchestrated by Ms. Musielak in her efforts to derail the investigative attempts of DCFS, the DuPage County Family Center, and law enforcement agencies are glaring examples of such concerns.**

Let us recognize the pattern emerging from the actions of Ms. Musielak, Rick and Chuck Roberts, Roger Hatcher, Bill Cherny, Judge Louis Aranda, Trevor Prindle, and the Neal clan - Kristine, Pam, and James. A series of machinations that collectively undermine the blanket of protection intended to insulate the children at the core of this case. With their intricate web of duties, these individuals hold the keys to fostering a secure, compassionate, and abuse-free environment for the children. And yet, their actions seem to pivot away from these fundamental objectives, generating a sense of severe concern.

Protection of Thomas Neal continues unabated, a grim reminder of misplaced priorities. It's of utmost importance to remember that **Judge Aranda, Wendy Musielak, and Trevor Prindle are slated for positions that demand impassive objectivity, tasked with safeguarding the rights of the minority.** Their actions, however, fall short of these critical obligations, an alarming reality that can no longer be ignored. In time, the beam of accountability will illuminate this case, and **I am committed to tirelessly chasing justice.** Those complicit in enabling and abetting child abuse and child abduction will face their reckoning if they persist in shielding Thomas Neal.

Cincerely,

Mario Crruz Neal
929 Spindletree Ave.
Naperville, IL 60565
630-631-2190

Never forget- in the grand courtroom of life, every day missed in a child's life is an unwritten chapter that can never be rewritten.