

Perjury, Collusion, and Other Crimes

By

Judge Louis Aranda, Wendy Musielak, and Rick and Chuck Roberts

An Urgent Plea for Justice Amidst Shadows

In the deceptive shadows of what is touted as a just and fair legal system, my children and I find ourselves ensnared in a narrative so grim it starkly contradicts the cherished principles of liberty and justice for all. This narrative is not merely a recounting of personal grievance; it serves as a somber call to bear witness to a heart-wrenching saga of judicial betrayal, systemic corruption, rampant racism, and brazen discrimination - all culminating in a scandalous disregard for the sanctity of a loving family's bonds. Herein lies a testament to the might of the unjust, where a polished façade of legal righteousness obscures a treacherous undercurrent that has egregiously failed and utterly forsaken my family, inflicting unfathomable trauma on my three innocent children.

As I unveil the depths of our ordeal, it transcends a mere outcry over the torment and desolation we have faced. It is a beacon aimed to shed unforgiving light on the glaring failures, the obnoxious immunity enjoyed by the wrongdoer clad in the guise of a protector — Thomas Neal. Under the guise of justice, the most sacred act of parental love was brutally usurped with the stroke of a gavel, as my children were ripped from their nurturing home and cast into the care of an individual whose depravities have been systematically overlooked by a system prophesized to uphold justice. Despite numerous DCFS investigations and criminal probes meant to safeguard, the entrusted caretakers of justice paradoxically exiled my children from their sanctuary, leaving their welfare in peril.

This crucible of despair and hope has catalyzed an unyielding resolve within me, driving a quest not only for the reclamation of truth and integrity but also to cast a glaring spotlight on the profound miscarriages of justice, deeply entrenched within our legal institutions. This is not merely a prelude but an urgent rallying cry beckoning for a transformative awakening to confront and rectify the virulent injustices that have indelibly scarred my family - a scourge that, without doubt, afflicts countless others in silence.

Compelled by the harrowing reality we endure —where the scales of justice are gravely imbalanced by prejudice, discrimination, and corruption — I stand defiant against the sinister veil of legality that conceals egregious acts. Our story unveils the harrowing chronicle of my children's wrongful removal, their unfathomable placement with an abuser, and our relentless odyssey to reclaim not only our rightful place but also our inherent dignity. We navigate through the looking

glass of the U.S. legal framework, a realm where the anticipation of protection tragically metamorphoses into victimization, and the quest for redress plunges into a quagmire of disillusionment.

This narrative transcends despair; it is a clarion call for systemic reform — a fervent plea that every individual, every parent, and especially every child, is graced with the undeniable assurance that justice is not an elusive ideal but a tangible reality, firmly within our collective reach.

December 7, 2023

A Bold Move For Justice

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT DUPAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS

THOMAS NEAL

)

Petitioner/Counter-Respondent,

)

)

and

)

2022DC000915

)

MARIO NEAL

)

Judge Louis B. Aranda

Respondent/Counter-Petitioner.

)

EMERGENCY MOTION TO REMOVE GUARDIAN AD LITEM

NOW COMES the Respondent, Mario Neal, appearing pro se, and urgently moves this Court to expeditiously remove **Wendy M. Musielak as Guardian ad Litem (GAL)** for the minor children, Agustin, Lily, and Josephine, and sets forth the following compelling reasons:

1. Wendy M. Musielak was appointed as GAL for the minor children in this case, a position that carries a significant responsibility to act unwaveringly in the best interests of the children.

In a legal and moral odyssey marked by persistent challenges and an unwavering quest for justice, I found myself compelled to confront a matter of significant legal and ethical

importance. On the 7th of December, adhering strictly to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 906(b)(5), I had presented a meticulously compiled objection aimed at challenging Ms. Wendy Musielak's continued tenure as guardian ad litem. This appeal, echoing a previously voiced concern, arose from a pressing need to question Ms. Musielak's suitability and conduct in her influential role.

My objection was underpinned by a comprehensive array of evidence that illuminated instances of Ms. Musielak's behavior that dangerously blurred the lines between unethical conduct and potential illegality. Despite the compelling nature of this evidence, Judge Louis Aranda's response from the bench emerged as deeply troubling.

With a finesse that perplexed many, Judge Aranda dismissed my motion, an action notably devoid of solid legal reasoning. This dismissal did not only trivialize the gravity of the issues raised but also seemingly obscured the path to a fair and impartial hearing—an entitlement guaranteed by the Illinois Supreme Court Rules.

This episode, emblematic of a larger systemic issue, transcended a personal grievance to spotlight a battle over the integrity of the Family Law system—a system that purports to prioritize child welfare above all. My unyielded effort to challenge and potentially seek the reevaluation of Ms. Musielak's position was motivated by a commitment to fairness and justice, and a profound concern over practices that could undermine the ethical and moral pillars supporting the legal framework governing family matters.

The refusal of Judge Aranda to entertain my motion was, in retrospect, a glaring indication that there might have been an underlying inclination to protect Ms. Musielak from scrutiny. Such a possibility paints a troubling picture of the judiciary's role in ensuring that guardians ad litem are held to the highest ethical and professional standards. The ramifications of allowing any guardian ad litem to operate without stringent oversight are severe, undermining the credibility of the judicial process and compromising the welfare of those it seeks to protect.

In presenting my grievances and the accompanying evidence, my objective was singular: to instigate a critical reevaluation of established protocols and practices, ensuring that individuals in crucial roles within the Family Law system uphold the highest standards of competence and integrity.

As I navigated this challenging legal landscape, my resolve had never wavered. The pursuit of justice, especially within the sensitive realm of family law, requires an unwavering dedication to the principles of integrity, transparency, and accountability. With a heart heavy with disappointment yet buoyant with hope, I continued my advocacy for a reconsideration of Ms. Musielak's guardianship, driven by an unwavering belief in the imperatives of judicial fairness and the paramountcy of children's best interests.

December 8th, 2023

Legal Maneuvers Threaten Due Process and Fair Representation

EMERGENCY NOTICE OF MOTION

TO: Mr. Mario Neal
929 Spindletree Ave.
Naperville, IL 60565
marioncal628@gmail.com

Wendy Musielak, Esq.
Esp Kreuzer Cores, LLP
400 S. County Farm Rd., Suite 200
Wheaton, IL 60187
familylaw@ekclawfirm.com


YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that on **December 8, 2023, at 1:30 PM** or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, I shall appear before the Honorable Louis Aranda in Courtroom 3003, or any other Judge as may be holding Court in his absence, in the DuPage Judicial Center, 505 North County Farm Road, Wheaton, Illinois and then and there present for hearing *instantly*, **EMERGENCY MOTION TO SEAL DEFENDANT'S 12/07/2023 MOTION TO REMOVE GUARDIAN AD LITEM**, a copy of which is attached hereto.

ROBERTS PC


One of Plaintiff's Attorneys

PROOF OF SERVICE

Under penalties as provided by law, pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the undersigned non-attorney certifies that she served a true and correct copy of the foregoing Notice of Motion to all counsel of record via email on December 8, 2023.


Jennifer Okun, Paralegal

Replete with robust evidence, I filed on December 7th a motion under the auspices of Illinois Supreme Court Rule 906(b)(5), vectored towards the removal of Ms. Wendy Musielak from her assigned role as guardian ad litem. I would remiss not to illustrate the significant sequence of events that crystallized with nigh bewildering immediacy following the filing of this motion.

Strikingly soon after my motion was registered, precisely at 12:28 PM, I received an unexpected electronic correspondence from Mr. Robert's office. Within the contents of this message, a request was nestled, a request that significantly deviated from the routine flow of events - an emergency hearing scheduled to take place four Napoleonic minutes past the thirteenth hour on the same day. The agenda? To solicit a hastily arranged seal around my motion aimed at removing Ms. Musielak.

The sharpness of the timeline, constricted to an hour, without doubt, deprecates the very bedrock on which our system of justice stands—due process, a cord that ties our democracy together. Their tactical ploy, specifically tailored to suppress my earnest pursuits, not only marginalizes my voice but seeks to mute it outright by orchestratively narrowing the window to prepare a defense down to an unrealistic timespan. All underscored by the unambiguous intention to expedite railroading of the process, which would inadvertent affect foreclosing my ability to fully partake in this crucial juncture.

Furthermore, their awareness of my occupational commitments during this time period, which preempted my physical presence, underscores an insidious intention to maneuver around my direct involvement.

Unveiling Deception

12/08/2023	WMM	RECEIVE TELEPHONE CALL WITH CHUCK ROBERTS ADVISING THAT MARIO FILED IN THE COURT PHOTOS OF A.N., REVIEW ONLINE COURT FILE; RECEIVE EMERGENCY MOTION TO SEAL AND REVIEW; TELEPHONE CALL WITH CHUCK ROBERTS RE: COURT TODAY AND
Thomas Neal		Page: 2 January 01, 2024 Account No: 2476-10154M Statement No: 123853
IRMO: Neal - GAL Matter		Hours 0.50
		THEN FOLLOW-UP CALL WITH CHUCK ROBERTS ADVISING MATTER WAS CONTINUED TO MONDAY.

Upon meticulous review of Ms. Wendy Musielak's invoicing records, a disconcerting narrative spontaneously unveiled itself. As documented, Ms. Musielak charged fees for inspecting the online court docket, a revelation that

incidentally confessed to the premeditated orchestration of addressing the motion on December 8th.

However, an unsettling shift in proceedings became unveiled when the sudden presence of my person in the courthouse became apparent to the illustrious ensemble of Rick, Chuck, Roberts, the Honorable Judge Luis Aranda and Ms. Musielak, who, in unison, elected to defer the hearing to the subsequent Monday.

This turn of events casts an ominous shadow, signifying a covert endeavor to proceed with legal exercises in my glaring absence—an inference suggestive of an under-the-table collaboration amongst the combatants orchestrating maneuvers of concealment.

Further, the previous urgency manifested to promptly seal the documents now appears dubious under the intrusive glare of these findings. It strains the bounds of credulity to disclaim the logical conclusion that their precipitant measures weren't directed from a paternal interest to shield my offspring—as they attested by proposing the motion to seal. Instead, their actions suggest a methodical strategy contrived to inhibit my advantageous submission of pivotal evidence.

This tangible evidence irrefutably spotlighted Ms. Musielak's clear negligence, her abdication of the crucial responsibility to protect my children, and a horrifying attempt to shroud potential child abuse incidents from examination under the judicial lens.

This subterfuge strategy strikingly deviates from the noble tenets of impartiality and justice, blatantly favoring secrecy and manipulation—nominal attributes distressingly antithetical to the stalwart protectors of the law and defenders of the vulnerable.

Rescheduling of Legal Proceedings

RE: IRMO Neal - Emergency Motion for 12/11/23 at 10:30am.

jenniferokun@robertspc.com <jenniferokun@robertspc.com>

Fri 12/8/2023 2:54 PM

To: marioneal628@gmail.com <marioneal628@gmail.com>; 'familylaw' <familylaw@ekclawfirm.com>

Cc: 'Chuck Roberts' <chuckroberts@robertspc.com>; 'Rick Roberts' <rick@robertspc.com>; 'Palios, Jen' <jenpalios@robertspc.com>

1 attachments (190 KB)

2023.12.08 - Neal - Emergency NOM for 12.11.23.pdf;

Attached please find an Emergency Notice of Motion for 12/11/23 at 10:30am for the Emergency Motion previously served upon you. Thank you.

Jennifer M. Okun, Paralegal
Roberts PC
2100 Manchester Road
Building B, Suite 1085
Wheaton, IL 60187
Main Line: 630-668-4211
Direct Line: 630-948-4067
www.robertspc.com

From: jenniferokun@robertspc.com <jenniferokun@robertspc.com>

Sent: Friday, December 8, 2023 12:29 PM

To: marioneal628@gmail.com; 'familylaw' <familylaw@ekclawfirm.com>

Cc: 'Chuck Roberts' <chuckroberts@robertspc.com>; 'Rick Roberts' <rick@robertspc.com>; 'Palios, Jen' <jenpalios@robertspc.com>

Subject: IRMO Neal - Emergency Motion for 12/08/23 at 1:30pm.

Attached please find copies of the following:

- Emergency Motion to Seal;
- Emergency Notice of Motion for 12/08/23 at 1:30pm;
- Notice of Filing.

Filed-stamped copies will be provided once accepted by the court.

Upon my return home, still grappling with the perplexing quietude of a courthouse whose halls should have resonated with the steadfast pursuit of justice, I was accosted yet another transmission emanating from Chuck Robert. This particular electronic message delivered word of the sudden reshuffling of the motion's intended date, now earmarked for hearing on the ensuing Monday.

It's worthy of notice that this email deprived of any explicit rationale for the previously decreed emergency hearing—a forum slated for initiation not a monstrous hour post the delivery

of the initial motion's notice. This digital correspondence's glaring paucity of detail regarding the eerie haste of the precursory rendezvous could not but breed more queries than it hoped to satisfy.

This email was dispatched to Ms. Musielak, Rick, Chuck Roberts, and Palios Jen; a glaring oversight, considering the maelstrom of perplexity that whirled within the hallowed corridors of the courthouse earlier. Even though there was a fleeting acknowledgement that I had been served, this nominal recognition did nothing to allay the unsettling dearth of transparency and explanation to which I was legally entitled.

The choreography of these unfolding events only serves to compound the growing specter of suspicion regarding the propriety and objective behind these proceedings. It's as if the relentless pursuit of lucidity and fairness intrinsic to the legal process had been thwarted at the ready, yet again.

Uncovering Evasion and Inconsistencies

A Question of Ethics

In the unfolding drama that is this legal case, a closer look at the events is revealing a concerning pattern of deception and irregularities. As we examine the flow of the legal process, it becomes evident that there's an intentional production designed to obscure the truth.

At the center of these revelations is Mr. Chuck Roberts, whose actions suggest a deliberate attempt to mislead the court. Such disregard for the integrity of the judiciary cannot be taken lightly—it strikes at the very core of our legal system's foundation.

The plot thickens with a perplexing set of documents, or rather, the lack thereof. Mr. Roberts served me with a Notice Of Filing with all the hallmarks of official procedure, insisting that a controversial motion had been filed appropriately. Yet, an examination of court records unveils a discrepancy that's hard to ignore: while the notice itself is on file, the motion it points to is conspicuously absent.

Also puzzling is the case of the so-called Emergency Motion, communicated to me as an urgent matter requiring immediate attention. Oddly enough, this document seems to have vanished from the official records as if it never existed. This omission isn't just a clerical error; it feels orchestrated to keep certain activities out of sight.

Receiving a notice for a motion that appears to have never entered the legal system raises red flags. The inconsistencies are too significant to overlook and demand a thorough and transparent investigation to get to the bottom of these troubling activities.

NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Mr. Mario Neal
929 Spindletree Ave.
Naperville, IL 60565
marioneal628@gmail.com

Wendy Musielak, Esq.
Esp Kreuzer Cores, LLP
400 S. County Farm Rd., Suite 200
Wheaton, IL 60187
familylaw@ekclawfirm.com

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on December 8, 2023, I filed with the Clerk of the Circuit Court for the 18th Judicial Circuit **EMERGENCY MOTION TO SEAL DEFENDANT'S 12/07/2023 MOTION TO REMOVE GUARDIAN AD LITEM**. A copy of same, as well as a Proof of Service, is hereby served upon you.

ROBERTS PC



PROOF OF SERVICE

Under penalties as provided by law, pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the undersigned non-attorney certifies that she served a true and correct copy of the Notice of Filing of **EMERGENCY MOTION TO SEAL DEFENDANT'S 12/07/2023 MOTION TO REMOVE GUARDIAN AD LITEM** to all counsel of record via email addressed to each party to whom it is directed on December 8, 2023.

One of Plaintiff's Attorneys

Speaking Up in Court

My Fiery Challenge and Their Silent Treatment

On court date of the 11th, I came out swinging with a blazing statement that called them out for trying to push me out of my rightful place in the legal proceedings. I laid out the ways I'd been unfairly treated, but you could hear crickets in response. The Judge, opposing counsel, and the Roberts guys—all of them—shut me out, wouldn't give me the time of day. It was clear they didn't want to hear what I had to say.

To make things even crazier, Judge Aranda started calling the shots at the hearing without even looking at the so-called emergency motion that was supposedly the heart of the whole show. He just plowed ahead, not even fazed by the misses and mix-ups that Mr. Roberts and Ms. Musielak were putting on display.

Throughout this whole court act, there was zero effort to tackle the real issues. It's like they barely even looked at the paperwork. You see a lot of detail work in these things, but not this time—it was all look the other way. The vibes were off, and it seemed like there was a plan in place to dodge the truth and keep everything under wraps, hiding behind legal formalities.

12/11/2023	0000	PLACEMENT ON CALL - JUDGE SECRETARY
12/08/2023	0000	NOTICE OF FILING
12/07/2023	0000	MOTION OR PETITION - OTHER
12/07/2023	0000	MISCELLANEOUS ORDER

Seeking Support in the Face of Adversity

A Call for Protection and Understanding

Confronted by an overwhelming feeling of being threatened and a profound sense of vulnerability, alongside my deeply rooted concerns, I reached out to the Family Shelter Office in search of support. I specifically requested the presence of a representative from their organization to provide moral and physical support during the challenging proceedings of the hearing. It was with great relief and immense gratitude that I welcomed the support of one of the counselors, who heeded my call for assistance and accompanied me into the daunting arena of the courtroom.

In the aftermath of the hearing, we undertook an exhaustive debriefing session. The counselor, attentive and concerned, initiated a series of follow-up questions regarding the distressing allegations my son courageously made about experiences of abuse. Hearing these unsettling accounts from a child stirred a deep sense of duty in her to act. Motivated by the gravity of the situation, she took the necessary step of involving the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) to report the worrying signs of possible child maltreatment.

Despite presenting these serious concerns directly to Ms. Wendy Musielak, her reaction—or lack thereof—was disheartening. Ms. Musielak, acting in her capacity as Guardian ad Litem, displayed an alarming disregard for her professional and ethical responsibilities. The role she occupies requires a proactive approach, mandating due diligence visits to Mr. Thomas's residence and the need for private conversations with the children to ascertain their well-being. Regrettably, weeks passed without any action or attempt to address these pressing issues, a critical lapse that cannot be overlooked.

December 11th, 2023

Disturbing Chain of Events amidst a Critical Investigation

In the wake of grave allegations of child assault, the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS) dutifully launched a critical investigation, a step that undoubtedly underscored the severity of the charges at hand. With the welfare of a vulnerable child potentially compromised, the initiation of this investigative process on December 10th signified a beacon of hope, a chance for truth and protection to prevail. An investigator was assigned with the crucial task of delving into the intricacies of the case, a testament to the system's vigilance.

Thomas Neal, identified as a key figure in this matter, was approached by the DCFS investigator for preliminary inquiries. Subsequently, Thomas Neal felt compelled to notify Mr. Chuck and Rick Roberts, indirectly drawing them into the spiral of investigation prompted by the assault allegations against my son.

What followed can only be characterized as profoundly unsettling. No sooner had the investigation begun, Rick and Chuck Roberts, in conjunction with Ms. Musielak, were allegedly found engaging in a discussion that bore a sinister undertone. Their conversation, disturbingly focused on the possibility of obstructing the DCFS inquiry, laid bare a staggering willingness to subvert a legally mandated process designed to protect the innocent.

The gravity of their actions was further compounded when Ms. Musielak intervened directly with the investigator. Leveraging her position as the guardian ad litem, she purportedly sought to sway the course of the investigation, casting Thomas Neal in an unwarrantedly favorable light and even advocating for the premature closure of the case. This stark manipulation attempt not only undermines the integrity of the investigative process but also positions itself as an affront to the principles of justice and the protection of the vulnerable.

The insidious nature of their stratagem did not end there. Foreseeing that the investigation would naturally extend to me, efforts were seemingly orchestrated to preempt and nullify my potential testimony, marking a deliberate endeavor to suppress the truth.

Mr. Musielak blatantly disregarding the safety and well-being of my son and attempted to manipulate the outcomes of a legal and moral procedure.

A Call to Mend the Breach in Our Legal Bastion

As I remain steadfast amidst the remnants of once hallowed trusts and the wreckage of unfulfilled assurances, an indomitable spirit compels me to voice my insurrection against the despotic tides of venality and scheming subterfuge. The egregious failures of our legal apparatus, typified by its indefensible shielding of the ignominious Thomas Neal, stand as a sobering illumination that justice—though idealized as impartial—is distressingly led astray.

The clarion for sweeping systemic rectification rings with undeniable urgency, as virulent strands of duplicity and malevolence perpetuate to strangle the vitality from the edifice of our erstwhile dignified institutions. My ordeal, harrowing though it is, embodies but one amongst a legion of voices demanding reparation—a collective uprising of innumerable families rent asunder by the stoic indifference of those vested with safeguarding equity and virtuous governance.

It is with unyielded fervor that I nurture the hope that my narrative of trials and sufferings will ejaculate a vociferous demand for metamorphosis, an insurrection beckoning those committed to the reclamation of honor and virtue within corridors dimmed by murkiness. In unity, let us muster, brandishing our collective insistence on responsibility, clarity, and, most paramount, unerring adjudication for each soul under our nation's watch. For it is solely through the crucible of verity and justice that we, as a society, can hope to sculpt a luminating progeny for our offspring and the epochs to come.

The tribulation and indignation we have borne serve to cast a stark light on the salient deficiencies ingrained in our jurisdictional framework—a construct that has, lamentably, enshrined Thomas Neal whilst jeopardizing the sanctum and welfare of my kin. The heinous expatriation of my children from their haven of maternal affection, only to consign them to the hands of a malefactor constitutes a stark perversion of justice. The conduct of entities such as Judge Louis Aranda, Wendy Musielak, and their ilk in this chronicle have laid bare an acrimonious indifference towards the tenets of justice and the protection of the defenseless. Mine is not an isolated account of tragedy; it is a harrowing parable reflecting the ease with which those least shielded can be disregarded and abused within a structure avowed to be their shield and stronghold. My tale is a call to arms—a vehement exhortation for expeditious and fundamental reforms, to avert the tragedy that has befallen my household from ensnaring another. It is with a sense of imperative we must tackle these maladies at their core, thus restoring the pillars of integrity, transparency, and answerability to a judicature that has faltered from the path of its lofty mission.
