



Mario Neal <marioneal628@gmail.com>

Fwd: Potential Criminal Activity - Dr. Roger Hatcher

1 message

Mario Neal <marioneal628@gmail.com>
To: Roger Hatcher <rhatcher47@gmail.com>

Sat, Dec 16, 2023 at 12:33 PM

From: marioneal628@gmail.com <marioneal628@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, December 16, 2023 9:36 AM
To: 'Roger Hatcher' <rhatcher47@gmail.com>; 'familylaw' <familylaw@ekclawfirm.com>; office@repstavamurray.com <office@repstavamurray.com>; notice@robertspc.com <notice@robertspc.com>; chiefjudge@18thjudicial.org <chiefjudge@18thjudicial.org>; office@repstavamurray.com <office@repstavamurray.com>
Subject: Potential Criminal Activity - Dr. Roger Hatcher

Mario Neal
929 Spindletree Ave
Naperville, IL 60565
Marioneal628@gmail.com
630-631-2190

Dr. Roger Hatcher
1700 N Farnsworth Ave
Aurora, IL 60505
rhatcher47@gmail.com

Wendy M. Musielak – Guardian Ad Litem
ESP KREUZERCORES LLP
400 S. County Farm Road, Suite 200
Wheaton, IL 60187
familylaw@ekclawfirm.com

Trevor M.J. Prindle, ASA
DuPage County State's Attorney's Office
503 N. County Farm Road
Wheaton, IL 60187
familylaw@ekclawfirm.com

Anne Stava-Murray
Illinois State Representative
633 Rogers Street, Suite 110
Downers Grove, IL 60515
office@repstavamurray.com

Mr. Chuck Roberts
ROBERTS PC
2100 Manchester Rd, Building B, Suite 1085
Wheaton, IL 60187
notice@robertspc.com

Mr. Rick Roberts
ROBERTS PC
2100 Manchester Rd, Building B, Suite 1085
Wheaton, IL 60187

I am writing to bring to your immediate attention an urgent and profoundly distressing matter regarding the ongoing legal proceedings and court-mandated 605 evaluation. It has come to my attention that Dr. Roger Hatcher has engaged in inappropriate conduct that compromises his ability to conduct a fair and unbiased evaluation of my children.

During the meeting that took place last week, my child JN disclosed distressing information regarding Dr. Hatcher's alleged attempts to manipulate their feelings towards me. According to JN, Dr. Hatcher responded dismissively to their concerns by stating, "So you're telling me that everything is okay with you?" JN felt disregarded and troubled by this response, which suggests a lack of empathy, professionalism, and understanding on Dr. Hatcher's part. Furthermore, JN alleged that Dr. Hatcher misrepresented their statements, which raised doubts about his honesty and professional competence.

I am deeply concerned about the possibility of collusion between Dr. Hatcher and others. I have reason to believe that he may be working together to influence the outcome of the evaluation in an unjust and unfair way. For instance, Dr. Hatcher's conduct during the meeting suggests that he is not approaching the evaluation with the necessary impartiality, sensitivity, and respect for the well-being of my children. Also, I would like to express my deep concerns regarding a significant shift in the conduct of my attorney, Bill Charney. Allowing Dr. Hatcher to continue with the evaluation could potentially result in irreparable harm to my children's emotional and psychological well-being.

Given the above compelling reasons supported by legal precedent, I demand the prompt removal of Dr. Hatcher from conducting the evaluation and any investigations into my mental health. I urge the appointment of an independent investigator to review Dr. Hatcher's conduct and investigate the potential for collusion. Additionally, I request that all future appointments with Dr. Hatcher, both for myself and my children, be canceled. Allowing Dr. Hatcher to continue with the evaluation could potentially result in irreparable harm to my children's emotional and psychological well-being.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and your commitment to a fair and just evaluation process for the benefit of my children. Their well-being is paramount, and I trust you will take the necessary steps to rectify this situation promptly.

Furthermore, I will appeal the order for a mental health examination based on the reasons established by Illinois precedent: lack of evidence warranting examination, examination not narrowly tailored, less intrusive options available, and the order lacking required findings. Given the above compelling reasons supported by Illinois precedent, there are other less highly intrusive orders to ensure that the examination is lawful, necessary, and narrowly tailored.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and your commitment to a fair and just evaluation process for the benefit of my children. Their well-being is paramount, and I trust you will take the necessary steps to rectify this situation promptly.

Mario Neal