

## Immediate Action Required: Demanding Transparency and Justice in the Mishandling of My Children's Custody Case

1 message

**Mario Neal** <marioneal628@gmail.com>

Fri, Apr 5, 2024 at 12:59 PM

To: Wendy Musielak <wmusielak@ekclawfirm.com>, Rick Roberts <Rick@robertspc.com>, Chuck Roberts <chuckroberts@robertspc.com>, Roger Hatcher <rhatcher47@gmail.com>, "Louis.Aranda@18thjudicial.org" <Louis.Aranda@18thjudicial.org>, "Prindle, Trevor" <trevor.prindle@dupageco.org>, James R Neal <jrneal@loomislaw.com>, Pam Neal <nealpam@comcast.net>, "thomastn@umich.edu" <thomastn@umich.edu>, "Laticia.mcalpine@illinois.gov" <Laticia.mcalpine@illinois.gov>

Bcc: jenpalios@robertspc.com, kdavis@ekclawfirm.com, akrawczyk@iardc.org, court.administrator@18thjudicial.org, detectivemail@dupagesheriff.org, carl.brewer@illinois.gov, markel.thurman@illinois.gov, chiefjudge@18thjudicial.org

Wendy,

In the realm of justice and truth, where the stakes are the well-being and future of my children, there is no room for opacity or half-truths. The recent actions and decisions within this case, specifically regarding the DCFS letter dated March 4, 2024, and the selective dissemination of information, have illuminated a troubling departure from these principles. As a guardian embroiled in a fight not just for my rights but for the fundamental safety and happiness of my children, the withholding of critical documents and the apparent manipulation of narrative by you raise grave concerns. This letter, then, is not merely a request—it is a clarion call for accountability, transparency, and a reevaluation of the proceedings that have so drastically affected the lives of my innocent children.

I am writing to you regarding the handling of my case and the welfare of my children, for whom you serve as the guardian ad litem. It is with a heavy heart and deep concern that I outline several critical issues needing immediate attention and clarification. My intention is to seek answers and ensure that the best interests of my children are being prioritized according to legal and ethical standards.

I demand the immediate release of the DCFS letter dated March 4, 2024, which purportedly clears Mr. Thomas Neal. My role in this case is not just as a concerned party but as a guardian fighting for the truth and justice for my children, making it imperative that I am furnished with all documents of relevance. The withholding of such a pivotal document from me directly is not just disturbing; it is unacceptable and casts a long shadow over the transparency and integrity of the proceedings under your supervision. This act of exclusion raises alarming questions: Why was this crucial information directed exclusively to you, bypassing me entirely? This oversight, whether intentional or not, undermines the fairness of the entire process.

The lengthy conversation you had with the investigator, culminating in the dismissal of the allegations against my son, further complicates the matter. The conspicuous absence of Mr. Neal's exoneration in your official communications cannot be overlooked. It suggests a selective dissemination of information, potentially skewing perceptions to my detriment. Your reporting practices, which pointedly highlight my involvement while ignoring critical exculpatory evidence, demand a rigorous and immediate explanation. This selective omission not only compromises the integrity of the case but also appears to be a calculated attempt to malign my character and standing within this legal battle.

On March 7th, upon your review of the documents from the DuPage Family Center, you encountered explicit details of the emotional devastation inflicted on my son due to enforced separation from me. His sisters, though permitted to visit, bore witness to his anguish, watching their brother returning home in tears after sharing his heartfelt pleas for reunion. This situation, characterized by acute emotional suffering for all my children, is indefensible and reprehensible. Such a draconian measure, especially while Mr. Thomas Neal was scrutinized for serious accusations of physical abuse towards my son, is not just questionable; it is a glaring failure of your duty to protect.

The deliberate obstruction of my son's fundamental right to familial connection, while his sisters were granted access, in the face of his evident emotional collapse and well-documented abuse under Thomas's guardianship, necessitated an urgent and thorough review of the custody agreements. However, your inaction loudly proclaimed a distressing disregard for his suffering. Aggravating an already critical condition, you actively pursued further legal constraints to perpetuate this separation, liaising with Chuck Roberts in a transparent attempt to extend the period my children are unjustly withheld from me. This strategy, ostensibly aimed at maintaining supervision, veils a sinister motive: to obscure the reality of child abuse by curtailing our communication. Such a maneuver not only undermines the principles of justice

and protection meant to shield the vulnerable but also signals a grave betrayal of trust, highlighting a concerted effort to conceal wrongdoing at the expense of a child's well-being and a family's unity.

The glaring neglect witnessed by the Center incited them to question the rationale behind such a detrimental decision directly to you—a question that was met with an unsettling silence until the conclusion of the DCFS investigation. This tactic did more than just subject my son to an extended period of emotional turmoil, trauma, and a profound sense of abandonment; it left me in a state of desperation and despair, powerless to intervene or provide the solace and security my son so desperately needed. The decision endorsed during the January court hearing, facilitated by you and Chuck and ratified by Judge Louis Aranda—despite being privy to the distressing reports from the DuPage Family Center—was a stark maneuver to isolate me from my children under the guise of protection. This enforced restriction to mere supervised visits of one hour per week transparently aimed to obscure Thomas's misconduct rather than safeguard my child.

In that courtroom, my pleas for clarity on the forced separation from my children were met with a dismissive directive from Judge Aranda, suggesting that such estrangement was a means to 'move the case forward'. Yet, when confronted about the unaddressed calls from the Center, your excuse of being 'out of town' was not only inadequate but insultingly dismissive, completely disregarding the profound emotional and psychological damage inflicted upon my child as a result of these decisions. Further, your assertion that my son's exclusion was a mere 'error'—followed by a hollow promise to the judge to arrange compensatory contact hours, which never materialized—was deceitful. It became evident that any opportunity for my son to communicate his experiences directly to me was perceived as a threat to the façade you were upholding, a calculated effort to conceal the abuse he endured.

This series of actions—marked by evasion, deceit, and a glaring disregard for the well-being of my children—underscores a malicious intent to manipulate the judicial process, ensuring the continuance of a narrative that serves to protect the abuser at the cost of the innocent.

Your actions have not only perpetuated the emotional trauma of my children but have also blatantly disregarded the critical need for familial connection during such a tumultuous period. The lack of immediate, decisive action to rectify this harmful separation, coupled with your inadequate responses, underscores a profound dereliction of your responsibilities. This is not a matter of procedural oversight but a severe and distressing failure to safeguard the emotional and physical well-being of a child under your charge.

Moreover, I hereby insist on being provided with a copy of the email you sent to the center, in which you requested additional time regarding this matter. The transparency and accountability of your actions in this context are of paramount importance, as they directly impact the wellbeing and safety of my child. Your decisions and their implications warrant a thorough and immediate explanation, underscoring the urgency of rectifying this grievous oversight.

Your visit with my children on March 9, 2024, after a conspicuously lengthy hiatus extending back to October 2023, starkly illuminates a disturbing gap in your engagement and prompts grave concerns about the underlying intentions and timing of this encounter. In the shadow of ongoing investigations and a cascade of alarming reports, this sudden re-emergence not only begs for a thorough explanation but also casts a deep shadow of doubt over the integrity and impartiality of your assessments.

This extended period of detachment, followed by a sudden reconnection, is not merely puzzling—it signals a potentially strategic maneuver that undermines the foundational principles of your role. Amidst the turbulence of investigations and the heart-wrenching pleas for help, the absence of your presence was acutely felt, leaving an indelible mark on the case and, most critically, on the vulnerable lives at its center.

The necessity for a detailed account of this protracted absence is not just warranted—it is imperative, as the ramifications of this gap in oversight have undoubtedly rippled through the proceedings, influencing perceptions and outcomes in ways that may have irreversibly altered the course of my children's lives. This visit, emerging from the void of months of silence, stands as a critical juncture, demanding a candid exposition of your actions—or lack thereof—and the profound impact they have had on the welfare and futures of my children.

The deliberate inclusion of the timestamps of my emails within your reports, juxtaposed against the glaring omission of any substantive details from conversations with Chuck and Rick, strikes a chord of deep disquiet within me. This selective portrayal of events is not only disconcerting but appears to be a calculated attempt to wield this information as a weapon of retaliation or intimidation. Such actions betray a fundamental breach of the ethical standards expected in your role, transforming what should be a conduit of clear, unbiased communication into a tool for manipulation.

This strategic curation of information—choosing to highlight my attempts to communicate while simultaneously shrouding the content and context of discussions with key individuals—raises significant red flags regarding the

integrity of the reporting process. It casts a shadow of doubt over the entirety of the communication framework, undermining any pretense of transparency or fairness.

The conspicuous absence of crucial dialogue from your reports does not merely represent an oversight; it signals a deliberate decision to obscure and manipulate the narrative to fit a specific agenda. This approach not only compromises the credibility of the reporting but also, more importantly, jeopardizes the trust and safety of those most impacted by these proceedings. A full and unvarnished account of all communications is not just necessary for clarity—it is essential for justice. The integrity of this process, and the trust placed in it by all parties involved, hangs in the balance, necessitating immediate rectification and a return to principled, transparent communication.

As the dust settles on the battlefield of this grueling legal and emotional struggle, what remains starkly visible are the deep scars inflicted on my children due to a series of calculated omissions, delays, and decisions that seem designed more to obscure the truth than to reveal it. The weight of these actions, marked by evasion and a disturbing lack of urgency, hangs heavily not just on my conscience but on the very essence of the judicial process meant to protect the innocent and punish the guilty. The visit after a prolonged absence, the strategic silence in the face of dire need, and the manipulation of communications—all these actions combined paint a picture not of justice served, but of justice denied.

As I stand at this crossroads, demanding the immediate release of all pertinent documents and a full accounting of the decisions that have led us here, I do so with the full weight of my duty as a parent and as a citizen of the law. This isn't merely about rectifying oversights; it is about challenging a system that has allowed these oversights to manifest into real suffering for those it was meant to protect. Let this letter serve not just as a demand for answers and actions but as a testament to the enduring spirit of a fight for truth, for justice, and most importantly, for the sanctity of a family's right to unity and safety. The path forward must be paved with transparency, accountability, and a renewed commitment to the welfare of my children, whose only desire is to live a life free from fear and filled with love.

Sincerely,

Mario Cruz Neal  
929 Spindletree Ave  
Naperville, IL 60565  
331-226-6623

---

## 2 attachments

 [2476.10154\\_Stmt\\_125288.pdf](#)  
292K

 [Court Order.pdf](#)  
14943K